

REMARKS


This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated September 20, 2005 in which claims 1-20 were rejected under §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. This rejection appears to be based on a typographical error in the Amendment filed June 24, 2005. With the present Amendment, the term "a running threshold" is corrected to read --a predetermined threshold-- to better match the term originally used in dependent claims 4 and 13. With this Amendment, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under §112 be withdrawn.

Applicants note the Office Action also states, "Furthermore, upon cancellation of this new matter in claims 1 and 10, the rejection over the prior art, previously made will be reinstated." However, as mentioned in Applicants' previous response, the limitations added to independent claims 1, 10 and 16 correspond to the allowable subject matter identified in paragraph 10 of the first Office Action. Accordingly, it does not appear that there is a previous rejection to be reinstated.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By:   
David D. Brush, Reg. No. 34,557  
Suite 1400 - International Centre  
900 Second Avenue South  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319  
Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312

DDB:tkj